

GTOC 4 – Ranking

rank	team #	team name	J	$K = m_f$ [kg]	duration [year]	rendezvous asteroid
1	15	Moscow State University	44	553.46	10	2000SZ162
2	25	The Aerospace Corporation	44	516.83	10	2000SZ162
3	12	Advanced Concepts Team, ESA	42	511.45	10	2008UA202
4	20	DEIMOS Space	39	605.44	10	2006BZ147
5	41	GMV	39	516.30	10	2007YF
6	19	Jet Propulsion Laboratory	38	515.87	10	138911
7	8	Politecnico di Torino, Universita di Roma La Sapienza	36	574.44	10	2006QQ56
8	32	University of Texas at Austin, Odyssey Space Research, ERC Incorporated	32	639.86	9.69	2006UB17
9	34	University of Glasgow University of Strathclyde	29	715.21	9.98	2006QQ56
10	13	Thales Alenia Space	27	533.25	10	2006QQ56
11	10	University of Trento	26	721.73	9.73	2006UB17
12	46	University of Bremen, Politecnico di Milano	26	577.97	9.82	2008GM2
13	31	Moscow Aviation Institute, Research Institute of Applied Mechanics and Electrodynamics	24	720.62	10	2007YF
14	2	Georgia Institute of Technology	24	500.27	9.5	2008UA202
15	42	TOMLAB	22	615.22	9.65	2006XP4
16	6	VEGA	20	653.07	10	2008UA202
17	5	DLR German Space Operations Center Aachen University of Applied Sciences	20	635.09	10	2005BG28
18 ^(a)	38	Team Astroshape	20	524.48	10	2006SV5
19	40	DLR Institute of Space Systems	19	592.35	10	138911
20	4	Tsinghua University	18	539.98	10	138911
21	11	University of Missouri	15	836.06	10	2005CD69
22	9	Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics	13	651.87	9.98	2006RJ1
23 ^(a)	35	Texas A&M University	12	697.93	10	2006UB17
- ^(b)	37	Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics	54	836.53	9.58	2005SN5
- ^(b)	23	CHOPIN Team	24	1436.33	10.12	2008UA202
- ^(c)	18	Chinese Academy of Sciences	19	872.65	9.68	2004XG

^(a) minor constraints violation having negligible influence on the results

^(b) major constraints violation, solution not ranked

^(c) late solution